Finite Fields

## Finite Fields

- Message authentication - Galois Counter Mode
- AES S-boxes
- Elliptic curve cryptography


## What is a field

- "In mathematics, a field is a set on which addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division are defined and behave as the corresponding operations on rational and real numbers do."
--Wikipedia
- In cryptography, we often want to "undo things" or get the same result two different ways
- Math!
- On digital computers the math you learned in grade school is not good enough
- Suppose we want to multiply by a plaintext, and the plaintext is 3. Great!
- Now the decryption needs the inverse operation. Crap!
- $1 / 3$ is not easy to deal with (not even in floating point or fixed point)


## What kind of field do we want for crypto?

- Should be integers
- Should be finite
- Should be efficient in digital logic
- Even for software implementations


## Good YouTube videos...

- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ct2fyigNgPY
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ColSUxhpn6A


## Field

- Commutative

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a+b=b+a \\
& a * b=b * a
\end{aligned}
$$

- Associative

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a+b)+c=a+(b+c) \\
& (a * b) * c=a *(b * c)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Identity

$$
0!=1, a+0=a, a * 1=a
$$

- Inverse

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a+-a=0 \\
& a * a^{-1}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

- Distributive

$$
a *(b+c)=(a * b)+(a * c)
$$

# Integers mod 100 

- Commutative? Associative? Identity?
- Inverse?


## Integers mod 100

- Commutative? Associative? Identity?
- Inverse?
- Sometimes there is one, e.g., 3 and 67 (201 \% $100=1$ )
- Sometimes not, e.g., 5
- Integers mod 100 is not a finite field!


## Integers mod 101

- Commutative? Associative? Identity?
- Inverse?
- Every number $0<\mathrm{i}<101$ has a multiplicative inverse
- Co-prime to 101, because 101 is prime
- Integers mod 101 is a finite field!
- True of any prime number
- In general $p^{k}$ where $p$ is prime and $k$ is positive integer


## GF(2)

- Want to define a field over $2^{\mathrm{k}}$ possibilities for a k-bit number
- 2 is prime, all other powers of 2 are not
- Need to use irreducible polynomials


# https://jedcrandall.github.io/courses/ cse539spring2023/miniaesspec.pdf 
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### 2.1 The Finite Field GF( $2^{4}$ )

The nibbles of Mini-AES can be thought of as elements in the finite field GF( $2^{4}$ ). Finite fields have the special property that operations (,,$+- \times$ and $\div$ ) on the field elements always cause the result to be also in the field. Consider a nibble $n=\left(n_{3}, n_{2}, n_{1}, n_{0}\right)$ where $n_{i} \in\{0,1\}$. Then, this nibble can be represented as a polynomial with binary coefficients i.e having values in the set $\{0,1\}$ :

$$
\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{3} \mathrm{x}^{3}+\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{n}_{0}
$$

## Example 1

Given a nibble, $\mathrm{n}=1011$, then this can be represented as

$$
n=1 x^{3}+0 x^{2}+1 x+1=x^{3}+x+1
$$

Note that when an element of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$ is represented in polynomial form, the resulting polynomial would have a degree of at most 3 .

### 2.2 Addition in GF( $\mathbf{2}^{4}$ )

When we represent elements of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$ as polynomials with coefficients in $\{0,1\}$, then addition of two such elements is simply addition of the coefficients of the two polynomials. Since the coefficients have values in $\{0,1\}$, then the addition of the coefficients is just modulo 2 addition or exclusive-OR denoted by the symbol $\oplus$. Hence, for the rest of this paper, the symbols + and $\oplus$ are used interchangeably to denote addition of two elements in $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$.

## Example 2

Given two nibbles, $\mathrm{n}=1011$ and $\mathrm{m}=0111$, then the sum, $\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}=1011+0111=1100$ or in polynomial notation:

$$
\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}=\left(\mathrm{x}^{3}+\mathrm{x}+1\right)+\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{x}+1\right)=\mathrm{x}^{3}+\mathrm{x}^{2}
$$

### 2.3 Multiplication in GF(2 ${ }^{4}$ )

Multiplication of two elements of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$ can be done by simply multiplying the two polynomials. However, the product would be a polynomial with a degree possibly higher than 3.

## Example 3

Given two nibbles, $\mathrm{n}=1011$ and $\mathrm{m}=0111$, then the product is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x^{3}+x+1\right)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)= & x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+x^{2}+x+1 \\
& =x^{5}+x^{4}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to ensure that the result of the multiplication is still within the field $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$, it must be reduced by division with an irreducible polynomial of degree 4 , the remainder of which will be taken as the final result. An irreducible polynomial is analogous to a prime number in arithmetic, and as such a polynomial is irreducible if it has no divisors other than 1 and itself. There are many such irreducible polynomials, but for Mini-AES, it is chosen to be:

$$
m(x)=x^{4}+x+1
$$

## Example 4

Given two nibbles, $\mathrm{n}=1011$ and $\mathrm{m}=0111$, then the final result after multiplication in GF $\left(2^{4}\right)$, called the 'product of $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{m}$ modulo $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{x})$ ' and denoted as $\otimes$, is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x^{3}+x+1\right) \otimes\left(x^{2}+x+1\right) & =x^{5}+x^{4}+1 \text { modulo } x^{4}+x+1 \\
& =x^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is because:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr} 
& \frac{x+1}{x^{4}+x+} \begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{r}
x^{5}+x^{4}+1 \\
+x^{5}+x^{2}+x
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
x^{4}+x^{2}+x+1 \\
\\
+\quad x^{4}+\quad x+1 \\
x^{2}
\end{array}
\end{aligned} & \text { (quotient) } \\
& \text { (remainder) }
\end{array}
$$

Note that since the coefficients of the polynomials are in $\{0,1\}$, then addition is simply exclusive-OR and hence subtraction is also exclusive-OR since exclusive-OR is its own inverse.

| $\otimes$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | A | C | E | 3 | 1 | 7 | 5 | B | 9 | F | D |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 5 | C | F | A | 9 | B | 8 | D | E | 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | C | 3 | 7 | B | F | 6 | 2 | E | A | 5 | 1 | D | 9 |
| 5 | 0 | 5 | A | F | 7 | 2 | D | 8 | E | B | 4 | 1 | 9 | C | 3 | 6 |
| 6 | 0 | 6 | C | A | B | D | 7 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 9 | F | E | 8 | 2 | 4 |
| 7 | 0 | 7 | E | 9 | F | 8 | 1 | 6 | D | A | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | C | B |
| 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | B | 6 | E | 5 | D | C | 4 | F | 7 | A | 2 | 9 | 1 |
| 9 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 2 | B | 3 | A | 4 | D | 5 | C | 6 | F | 7 | E |
| A | 0 | A | 7 | D | E | 4 | 9 | 3 | F | 5 | 8 | 2 | 1 | B | 6 | C |
| B | 0 | B | 5 | E | A | 1 | F | 4 | 7 | C | 2 | 9 | D | 6 | 8 | 3 |
| C | 0 | C | B | 7 | 5 | 9 | E | 2 | A | 6 | 1 | D | F | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| D | 0 | D | 9 | 4 | 1 | C | 8 | 5 | 2 | F | B | 6 | 3 | E | A | 7 |
| E | 0 | E | F | 1 | D | 3 | 2 | C | 9 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 4 | A | B | 5 |
| F | 0 | F | D | 2 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 1 | E | C | 3 | 8 | 7 | 5 | A |

## Why does AES use a finite field?

## DES (16 rounds, 64-bit blocks, 56-bit key)



Decryption


S-boxes

| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | x0000x | x0001x | x0010x | x0011x | x0100x | x0101x | x0110x | x0111x | x1000x | x1001x | x1010x | x1011x | x1100x | x1101x | x1110x | x1111x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oyyyyo | 14 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 7 |
| 0yyyy1 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| 1yyyy0 | 4 | 1 | 14 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 0 |
| 1yyyy1 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | x0000x | x0001x | x0010x | x0011x | x0100x | x0101x | x0110x | x0111x | x1000x | x1001x | x1010x | x1011x | x1100x | x1101x | x1110x | x1111x |
| Oyyyy0 | 15 | 1 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 10 |
| $0 \mathrm{Oyyy1}$ | 3 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 5 |
| 1yyyy0 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 15 |
| 1yyyy1 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | x0000x | x0001x | x0010x | x0011x | x0100x | x0101x | x0110x | x0111x | x1000x | x1001x | x1010x | x1011x | x1100x | x1101x | x1110x | x1111x |
| Oyyyy0 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| 0yyyy1 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 15 | 1 |
| 1мnmen | 12 | 6 | 1 | व | 8 | 15 | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | 11 | 1 | ? | 17 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 7 |

## How to make S-boxes

- (Have to be invertible if not a Fiestel structure)
- Out of thin air? (DES)
- Randomly? (tricky)
- $\pi$ ? (Blowfish)
- Galois multiplicative inverses? (AES)


## Tiny Encryption Algorithm (TEA), Feistel structure with 64 rounds

\#include <stdint.h>

```
void encrypt (uint32_t v[2], const uint32_t k[4]) {
    uint32 t v0=v[0], v1=v[1], sum=0, i; /* set up */
    uint32_t delta=0x9E3779B9; /* a key schedule constant */
    uint32_t k0=k[0], k1=k[1], k2=k[2], k3=k[3]; /* cache key */
    for (i=0; i<32; i++) { /* basic cycle start */
        sum += delta;
        v0 += ((v1<<4) + k0) ^ (v1 + sum) ^ ((vl>>5) + k1);
        v1 += ((v0<<4) + k2) ^ (v0 + sum) ^ ((v0>>5) + k3);
    } /* end cycle */
    v[0]=v0; v[1]=v1;
}
void decrypt (uint32_t v[2], const uint32_t k[4]) {
    uint32_t v0=v[0], v1=v[1], sum=0xC6EF3720, i; /* set up; sum is (delta << 5) & 0xFFFFFFFF */
    uint32_t delta=0x9E3779B9; /* a key schedule constant */
    uint32 t k0=k[0], k1=k[1], k2=k[2], k3=k[3]; /* cache key */
    for (i=0; i<32; i++) { /* basic cycle start */
            v1 -= ((v0<<4) + k2) ^ (v0 + sum) ^ ((v0>>5) + k3);
            v0 -= ((vl<<4) + k0) ^ (v1 + sum) ^ ((vl>>5) + k1);
            sum -= delta;
    } /* end cycle */
    v[0]=v0; v[1]=v1;
}
```


## AES is very efficient in both hardware and software

- Gallois multiplication built into hardware
- Different word sizes (8-bit, 16-bit, 32-bit, 64-bit)
- Lots of time-space tradeoffs
- E.g., rolling operations into the S-boxes
- Lots of parallelism
- Not a Fiestel structure
- No need to leave half a block untouched every round
- 10,12 or 14 rounds
- Corresponds to 128-, 192- or 256-bit keys

